

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/624,357	0	7/21/2003	Aaron Scott Lukas	06381P USA	7231		
23543	7590	01/06/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
		ND CHEMICALS	BLUM, DAVID S				
PATENT DE 7201 HAMII				ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
ALLENTOW	/N, PA 1	181951501		2813			

DATE MAILED: 01/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/624,357	LUKAS ET AL.	(m)
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David S. Blum	2813	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addre	ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinuity will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this commit () (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 December 2a) This action is FINAL.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pre		erits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 30 and 31 is/are pending in the applic 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 30 and 31 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 21 July 2003 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to ldrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Sta	ge
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/6/05;12/14/05. 5/31/05	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		2)

Art Unit: 2813

This action is in response to the RCE filed 12/14/05.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 30-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mandal (2002/0142585) in view of Waldfried ("Single Wafer Rapid Curing").

Regarding claim 30, Mandal discloses a mixture for depositing an organosilicate film comprising a dielectric constant of 3.5 or below, the mixture comprising at least one structure-former precursor selected from the group consisting of an organosilane and an organosiloxane (p.3 para.29, 32-33) and a pore-former precursor (p.4 para.36).

However, Mandal does not disclose said film exhibiting an absorbance of 200 to 400 nm wavelength. Mandal would look to one such as Waldfried for a porous low-k film because Waldfried discloses wherein it is implied that at least one precursor and/or the organosilicate film exhibits an absorbance in the 200 to 400 nm wavelength range (p.226 Exp. Para.1).

Art Unit: 2813

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Mandel by using the absorbance of Waldfried with the mixture of Mandal. The mixture of Mandal provides improved low-k material properties, and reduced process times and process temperatures (Waldfried – Abstract).

Regarding claim 31, Mandal also discloses a mixture for depositing an organosilicate film, the mixture comprising: from 5 to 95% by weight of a structure-former precursor selected from the group consisting of an organosilane and an organosiloxane and from 5 to 95% by weight of a pore-former precursor (p.3 para.29, 32-33; p.4 para.36; p.5 para.44-46).). However, Mandal does not disclose said film or precursor exhibiting an absorbance of 200 to 400 nm wavelength. Mandal would look to one such as Waldfried for a porous low-k film because Waldfried discloses wherein at least one of the precursors and/or the organosilicate film exhibits an absorbance in the 200 to 400 nm wavelength range (p.226 Exp. Para.1). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Mandel by using the absorbance of Waldfried with the mixture of Mandal. The mixture of Mandal provides improved low-k material properties, and reduced process times and process temperatures (Waldfried – Abstract).

Application/Control Number: 10/624,357 Page 4

Art Unit: 2813

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 12/14/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant argues that the (prior) action fails to provide any reason why one of ordinary skill in the art having read Mandel and Waldfried would be motivated to modify the teachings in such a way as to obtain any compound or practice any method recited in the applicant's claimed invention. The examiner disagrees. A statement as to why one of ordinary skill in the art having read Mandel and Waldfried would be motivated to modify the teachings in such a way as to obtain any compound or practice any method recited in the applicant's claimed invention has been provided.

The applicant argues that Mandel teaches only a single molecule and not a mixture of two recited components. Although Mandel teaches an example of a single molecule, Mandel (paragraph 0012) teaches the film may be deposited from "one or more compounds comprising at least one oxidizable silicon containing component and at least one non-silicon containing component...". Thus the teaching is not a single molecule but a mixture of two (or more) components.

Application/Control Number: 10/624,357

Art Unit: 2813

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Page 5

examiner should be directed to David S. Blum whose telephone number is (571)-272-

1687) and e-mail address is David.blum@USPTO.gov .

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Carl Whitehead Jr., can be reached at (571)-272-1702. Our facsimile

number all patent correspondence to be entered into an application is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David S. Blum

January 4, 2006